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#### Abstract

Differential and difference interpretations of a nonlocal boundary value problem for Poisson's equation in open rectangular domain are studied. New solvability conditions are obtained in respect of existence, uniqueness and a priori estimate of the classical solution. Second order of accuracy difference scheme is presented.
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## 1 Introduction

First of all, we note that a detailed overview on the nonlocal boundary value problem (NLBVP) that we consider in this paper is enclosed in [16, p. 38-39].

Let $\Pi$ designates an open rectangle, i.e., $\Pi=(0<x<1) \times(0<y<\pi)$. Our present paper deals with Poisson's equation $\Delta u(x, y)=f(x, y)$ in the rectangular domain $\Pi$ where nonlocal boundary value condition (NLBVC) is represented by a linear combination of unknown solution values

$$
u(1, y)=\alpha_{1} u\left(\xi_{1}, y\right)+\alpha_{2} u\left(\xi_{2}, y\right)+\ldots+\alpha_{m} u\left(\xi_{m}, y\right)
$$

for $y \in[0, \pi], \xi_{k} \in(0,1), k=1, \ldots, m$ and $\left.u(x, y)\right|_{\partial \Pi \backslash\{x=1\}}=0$ is given on three sides of the rectangle boundary $\partial \Pi$. Actually, herein the coefficients $\alpha_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$ have an arbitrary sign. This kind of NLBVP was considered in [3] where the existence and uniqueness of classical solution were proved against the requirement

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{k}+\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right) \leq 1
$$

but a priori estimate

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}
$$

was established for the same sign coefficients which satisfy the condition

$$
-\infty<\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k} \leq 1
$$

In addition, the second order of accuracy finite-difference scheme was offered on a uniform grid. In [5], the existence and uniqueness of classical solution were proved for a similar NLBVP in a rectangular domain when

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq\left|B_{1}\right|^{-1}
$$

for $0<\left|B_{1}\right|<1$, where the value $\left|B_{1}\right|^{-1}$ could be an unboundedly large if $\xi_{m} \rightarrow 0$, so that the unboundedness for $\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|$ was revealed.

In [16], the differential and difference variants of NLBVP formulated in [3] were researched for the case when NLBVC encloses positive and negative coefficients together without failing. The condition of paper [3] on the coefficients in respect of NLBVC was improved, the well-posedness of the differential problem was established, a second order of accuracy approximation for the suggested difference scheme was proved.

In our present paper, we obtain a new condition that ensures the existence, uniqueness and a priori estimate of classical solution for the class of NLBVPs which was considered in [16]. Our new well-posedness condition for the differential problem reveals the unboundedness effect for the coefficients of NLBVC. In addition, herein, we improve the condition of [16] in respect of the difference problem and obtain a second order of accuracy for the difference scheme.

Before finishing this introduction, we note that for the NLBVP which we consider in our present paper, the most relevant references [1-15] from [16, p. 51-52] are included in the bibliography.

## 2 Differential problem

We consider NLBVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u(x, y)=f(x, y),(x, y) \in \Pi  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0)=u(x, \pi)=0,0 \leq x \leq 1, u(0, y)=0,0 \leq y \leq \pi \\
\ell[u](y)=0,0 \leq y \leq \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\ell[u](y) \equiv u(1, y)-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} u\left(\zeta_{r}, y\right)+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} u\left(\eta_{s}, y\right)
$$

$0<\zeta_{1}<\ldots<\zeta_{n}<1,0<\eta_{1}<\ldots<\eta_{m}<1, \quad \zeta_{r} \neq \eta_{s}, \alpha_{r}>0$, $\beta_{s}>0, r=1, \ldots, n, s=1, \ldots, m$. Further in this article, $\mathcal{A}$ denotes following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\infty< & \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}<\frac{\sinh 1}{\sinh \zeta_{n}} \quad \text { when } \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}<\frac{\sinh 1}{\sinh \zeta_{n}} \text { when } \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Naturally, the classical solution of NLBVP (1) is the function $u(x, y)$ that belongs to $C^{2}(\Pi) \cap C(\bar{\Pi})$, satisfies the equation and all conditions of (1).

Lemma 2.1. For $x \in(0,1)$ and $t>1$ the following inequalities hold

$$
1>\frac{\sinh x}{\sinh 1}>\frac{\sinh t x}{\sinh t}
$$

Proof. Left side of inequality is obvious. Let we show that the other one holds. Let

$$
g(t)=\frac{\sinh t x}{\sinh t}
$$

for specified $x \in(0,1)$, then

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\left(\frac{\sinh t x}{\sinh t}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{x \cosh t x \sinh t-\sinh t x \cosh t}{(\sinh t)^{2}}
$$

Since

$$
\int \sinh a t \sinh b t d t=\frac{1}{a^{2}-b^{2}}(a \sinh b t \cosh a t-b \sinh a t \cosh b t)
$$

for $a^{2} \neq b^{2}$,

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{x \cosh t x \sinh t-\sinh t x \cosh t}{(\sinh t)^{2}}=\frac{x^{2}-1}{(\sinh t)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \sinh x \tau \sinh \tau d \tau
$$

Since $g^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0, g(t)$ strictly decreases, and therefore, for $t>1$

$$
\frac{\sinh x}{\sinh 1}>\frac{\sinh t x}{\sinh t}
$$

Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in C(\bar{\Pi})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ holds, then classical solution of (1) exists, is unique and holds a priori estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} \leq C| | f \|_{L_{2}(\Pi)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we prove a priori estimate (2). We assume that classical solution exists. For $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{k}(x)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} u(x, y) \sin (k y) d y  \tag{3}\\
& f_{k}(x)=\sqrt{2 / \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x, y) \sin (k y) d y \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

so that using the equation $\Delta u(x, y)=f(x, y)$ and conditions

$$
u(0, y)=0, u(1, y)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} u\left(\zeta_{r}, y\right)-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} u\left(\eta_{s}, y\right)
$$

we see that $U_{k}(x)$ satisfies the multipoint problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L\left[U_{k}\right](x)=f_{k}(x), \quad 0<x<1  \tag{5}\\
U_{k}(0)=0, \ell\left[U_{k}\right]=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L\left[U_{k}\right](x) \equiv U_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)-k^{2} U_{k}(x)  \tag{6}\\
\ell\left[U_{k}\right] \equiv U_{k}(1)-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} U_{k}\left(\zeta_{r}\right)-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} U_{k}\left(\eta_{s}\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $U_{k}(x)=V_{k}(x)+W_{k}(x)$, where $V_{k}(x)$ is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L\left[V_{k}(x)\right]=f_{k}(x), \quad 0<x<1  \tag{8}\\
V_{k}(0)=0, V_{k}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

while $W_{k}(x)$ is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L\left[W_{k}(x)\right]=0, \quad 0<x<1  \tag{9}\\
W_{k}(0)=0, \quad \ell\left[W_{k}\right]=-\ell\left[V_{k}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

In view of [3, p. 143], the solution of (8) holds the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|V_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{10}\\
\left\|V_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq \frac{1}{k}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{11}\\
\left\|V_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $V_{k}(1)=0$, by virtue of Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\zeta_{r}}^{1}\left(\left[V_{k}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{\prime} d x\right|=2\left|\int_{\zeta_{r}}^{1} V_{k}(x) V_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x\right| \leq 2\left\|V_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}\left\|V_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{13}\\
& \left|\int_{\eta_{s}}^{1}\left(\left[V_{k}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{\prime} d x\right|=2\left|\int_{\eta_{s}}^{1} V_{k}(x) V_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x\right| \leq 2\left\|V_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}\left\|V_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for $\xi \in(0,1)$

$$
\left[V_{k}(\xi)\right]^{2}=\left|\int_{\xi}^{1}\left(\left[V_{k}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{\prime} d x\right|
$$

from (13)-(14), in view of (10)-(11), we get estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{k}\left(\zeta_{r}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}, \quad\left|V_{k}\left(\eta_{s}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\ell\left[V_{k}\right]\right| \leq\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem (9) has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{k}(x)=\mathcal{W}_{k} \frac{\sinh k x}{\sinh k} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{k}=\frac{-\ell\left[V_{k}(x)\right]}{1-(\sinh k)^{-1}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \sinh k \eta_{s}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since the denominator of the fraction in (18) is nonzero, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(\sinh k)^{-1}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \sinh k \eta_{s}\right)>0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
1-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \frac{\sinh k \zeta_{r}}{\sinh k}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \frac{\sinh k \eta_{s}}{\sinh k} \geq 1-\frac{\sinh k \zeta_{n}}{\sinh k} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}+\frac{\sinh k \eta_{1}}{\sinh k} \sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \geq S_{k}
$$

for

$$
S_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \quad \text { if }-\infty<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \leq 0, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\right) \frac{\sinh k \zeta_{n}}{\sinh k}, \quad \text { if } 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}\right) \frac{\sinh k \zeta_{n}}{\sinh k}, \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}, \quad \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1,

$$
1>\frac{\sinh \zeta_{n}}{\sinh 1}>\frac{\sinh k \zeta_{n}}{\sinh k}
$$

then, in view of $\mathcal{A}$, we get that $S_{k} \geq S_{0}>0$ for

$$
S_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { when }-\infty<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \leq 0, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1}, \\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\right) \frac{\sinh \zeta_{n}}{\sinh 1}, \quad \text { when } 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1}, \\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}\right) \frac{\sinh \zeta_{n}}{\sinh 1}, \quad \text { when } \quad 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}, \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(\sinh k)^{-1}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \sinh k \eta_{s}\right) \geq S_{0}>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in view of (16)-(20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{k}(1)\right| \leq C_{0} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
C_{0}=\frac{1}{S_{0}}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\right)
$$

Since, in view of (17),

$$
W_{k}(x)=W_{k}(1) \frac{\sinh k x}{\sinh k}
$$

is the explicit solution of (9), then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|W_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \leq\left|W_{k}(1)\right|\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \sinh ^{2}(k x) d x}{\sinh ^{2} k}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{22}\\
\left\|W_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \leq k\left|W_{k}(1)\right|\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \cosh ^{2}(k x) d x}{\sinh ^{2} k}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{23}\\
\left\|W_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \leq k^{2}\left|W_{k}(1)\right|\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \sinh ^{2}(k x) d x}{\sinh ^{2} k}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Because

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \sinh ^{2}(k x) d x}{\sinh ^{2} k} \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \cosh ^{2}(k x) d x}{\sinh ^{2} k} \leq \frac{5}{2 k}
$$

then, in view of (21), the inequalities (22), (23) and (24) result in

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|W_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{0} \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{25}\\
\left\|W_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{0} \sqrt{5} \frac{1}{k}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{26}\\
\left\|W_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{0} \sqrt{2}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, in view of (10)-(12),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{28}\\
\left\|U_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{2} \frac{1}{k}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}  \tag{29}\\
\left\|U_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} & \leq C_{3}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}=C_{3}=1+C_{0} \sqrt{2}, C_{2}=1+C_{0} \sqrt{5}$. Therefore, in view of [3, p. 142143], we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} U_{k}^{2}(x) d x \leq C_{1}^{2}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}^{2} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(U_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}} C_{2}^{2}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(U_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq C_{3}^{2}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}^{2}
$$

so that (28)-(30) result [3, p. 142-143] in

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} & \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}  \tag{31}\\
\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} & \leq C_{2}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)}  \tag{32}\\
\left\|u_{x y}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} & \leq C_{3}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (32), from the equation $\Delta u(x, y)=f(x, y)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{y y}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}(\Pi)} \leq C_{4}\|f\|_{L_{2}(\Pi)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, a priori estimate (2) results from (31)-(34). Since, the uniqueness of classical solution follows from (2), then the existence results from Fredholm's property [2] which is inherent to the problem (1). Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in C(\bar{\Pi}), n=m$ and $\zeta_{r}<\eta_{r}, r=1, \ldots, n$. If

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}=0
$$

or if

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}<\frac{\sinh 1}{\sinh \zeta_{p}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \leq n$, so that $\frac{\left(\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)+\left|\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right|}{2}>0$, but $\frac{\left(\alpha_{p+i}-\beta_{p+i}\right)+\left|\alpha_{p+i}-\beta_{p+i}\right|}{2}=0$ for $1<i \leq n-p$ (if such $i$ does not exists we put $p=n$ ), then classical solution of (1) exists, is a unique and holds a priori estimate (2).

Proof. In view of (3)-(7), we find that $U_{k}(x)$ satisfies the multipoint problem (5)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L\left[U_{k}(x)\right]=f_{k}(x), \quad 0<x<1 \\
U_{k}(0)=0, \ell\left[U_{k}\right]=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left[U_{k}\right] \equiv U_{k}(1)-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r} U_{k}\left(\zeta_{r}\right)-\beta_{r} U_{k}\left(\eta_{r}\right)\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $U_{k}(x)=V_{k}(x)+W_{k}(x)$, where $V_{k}(x)$ is the solution of (8), $W_{k}(x)$ is the solution of (9). Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, estimates (10)-(12) hold, then estimates (13)-(15) hold for $r=s$. Hence, in view of (15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\ell\left[V_{k}\right]\right| \leq\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}+\beta_{r}\right)\right) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (17)-(18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{k}=\frac{-\ell\left[V_{k}\right]}{1-(\sinh k)^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\beta_{r} \sinh k \eta_{r}\right)} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the denominator of the fraction $\mathcal{W}_{k}$ is nonzero, we have

$$
1-\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\beta_{r} \sinh k \eta_{r}\right)}{\sinh k} \geq 1-\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right) \sinh k \zeta_{r}}{\sinh k} \geq S_{k}
$$

for

$$
S_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \quad \text { if } \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}=0, \\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}\right) \frac{\sinh k \zeta_{p}}{\sinh k}, \quad \text { if } \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.1,

$$
1>\frac{\sinh \zeta_{p}}{\sinh 1}>\frac{\sinh k \zeta_{p}}{\sinh k}
$$

and then $S_{k} \geq S_{0}$ for

$$
S_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \quad \text { if } \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}=0,  \tag{39}\\
1-\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}\right) \frac{\sinh \zeta_{p}}{\sinh 1}, \text { if } \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In view of corollary conditions, $S_{k} \geq S_{0}>0$. Therefore,

$$
1-(\sinh k)^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r} \sinh k \zeta_{r}-\beta_{r} \sinh k \eta_{r}\right) \geq S_{0}>0
$$

Hence, in view of (17) and (36)-(39),

$$
\left|W_{k}(1)\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}+\beta_{r}\right)}{S_{0}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k^{3 / 2}}\left\|f_{k}(x)\right\|_{L_{2}[0,1]}
$$

i.e., (21) holds for $C_{0}=S_{0}^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}+\beta_{r}\right)$. Then (22)-(34) hold similarly as in Theorem 2.2. Finally, a priori estimate (2) results from (31)-(34). Since the uniqueness of classical solution follows from (2), then the existence results from Fredholm's property [2] which is inherent to the problem (1). Corollary 2.3 is proved.

Note 2.1. To prove Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, the fulfillment of condition $\mathcal{A}$ and (35) is required correspondingly. Obviously, these conditions cover the condition $S \leq 1$, where

$$
S=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \text { if } \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
\sum_{r=1}^{n=1} \alpha_{r} \text { if } \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1} \\
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The condition $S \leq 1$ was required (see [16, p. 39-44]) to prove the wellposedness of NLBVP (1). Obviously, irrespective of $\zeta_{n}$ and $\zeta_{p}$ location, this result also follows from Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 correspondingly. In addition, for any value $S>1$, by virtue of Theorem 2.2, we can define an open interval for the location of $\zeta_{n}$, i.e.,

$$
0<\zeta_{n}<\operatorname{arsinh}\left(S^{-1} \sinh 1\right)
$$

so that the NLBVP (1) remains well-posed. Similarly, by virtue of Corollary 2.3, for any $S>1$ we can define an interval for $\zeta_{p}$, i.e.,

$$
0<\zeta_{p}<\operatorname{arsinh}\left(S^{-1} \sinh 1\right)
$$

so that the NLBVP (1) remains well-posed.
Note 2.2. Actually, the requirement $\mathcal{A}$, as well the condition (35), reveals the unboundedness effect, i.e., the corresponding value $S$ could be an arbitrarily large positive real number that depends on $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow 0$, or on $\zeta_{p} \rightarrow 0$, correspondingly, but nevertheless the NLBVP (1) remains well-posed.
Note 2.3. By virtue of Theorem 2.2, we can improve the condition of well-posed solvability for formulated in [3, p. 140] NLBVP (1) and write it as following:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k}^{+}<\frac{\sinh 1}{\sinh \xi_{p}}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}^{+}=2^{-1}\left(\alpha_{k}+\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right)$ and $p$ is the largest subindex of $\xi_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$, so that $\alpha_{p}>0$ (we assume that there is at least one $\alpha_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$ which has positive value), but $\alpha_{p+i} \leq 0,1<i \leq n-p$ ( $p=n$ if such $i$ does not exists).

## 3 Difference problem

We consider difference interpretation of NLBVP (1)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda Y=Y_{\bar{x} x}+Y_{\bar{y} y}=f(x, y), \quad\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \Pi  \tag{40}\\
\left.Y\right|_{y=0}=\left.Y\right|_{y=\pi}=0, x_{i} \in[0,1),\left.\quad Y\right|_{x=0}=0, \quad y_{j} \in[0, \pi] \\
\mathcal{L} Y=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}\left(Y_{i_{\zeta_{r}}, j} \frac{\left[\left(i_{\zeta_{r}}+1\right) h_{1}-\zeta_{r}\right]}{h_{1}}+Y_{i_{\zeta_{r}}+1, j} \frac{\left[\zeta_{r}-i_{\zeta_{r}} h_{1}\right]}{h_{1}}\right)- \\
-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\left(Y_{i_{\eta_{s}}, j} \frac{\left[\left(i_{\eta_{s}}+1\right) h_{1}-\eta_{s}\right]}{h_{1}}+Y_{i_{\eta_{s}}+1, j} \frac{\left[\eta_{s}-i_{\eta_{s}} h_{1}\right]}{h_{1}}\right)-Y_{N_{1}, j}=0 \\
j=1, \ldots, N_{2}-1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where same as in the differential problem we require $0<\zeta_{1}<\ldots<\zeta_{n}<1$, $0<\eta_{1}<\ldots<\eta_{m}<1, \quad \zeta_{r} \neq \eta_{s}, \quad \alpha_{r}>0, \quad \beta_{s}>0, \quad r=1, \ldots, n, \quad s=$ $1, \ldots, m$, and additionally, we define the numbers $i_{\zeta_{r}}$ and $i_{\eta_{s}}$ by corresponding inequalities $i_{\zeta_{r}} h_{1} \leq \zeta_{r}<\left(i_{\zeta_{r}}+1\right) h_{1}$ for $r=1, \ldots, n$ and $i_{\eta_{s}} h_{1} \leq \eta_{s}<$ $\left(i_{\eta_{s}}+1\right) h_{1}$ for $s=1, \ldots, m$, at least we put $\zeta_{0}=\eta_{0}=0, \zeta_{n+1}=\eta_{m+1}=1$, $h_{1}=1 / N_{1}, \quad h_{2}=\pi / N_{2}$ and require $h_{1} \leq c_{0} h_{2}, c_{0}=$ const add $h_{1}<\theta$, $\theta=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\zeta_{r+1}-\zeta_{r}, r=0,1, \ldots, n ; \eta_{s+1}-\eta_{s}, s=0,1, \ldots, m ;\left|\zeta_{r}-\eta_{s}\right|, r=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n, s=1, \ldots, m\}$.
Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\infty<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}<\left(1+\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1-\zeta_{n}-\theta} \quad \text { when } \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}<\left(1+\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1-\zeta_{n}-\theta} \quad \text { when } \quad \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(x, y)$ so that $u(x, y) \in C^{(4)}(\bar{\Pi})$ is a solution of NLBVP (1) when the condition $\mathcal{A}$ holds. If, additionally, the condition $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ holds too, then difference solution of (40) approximates $u(x, y)$ by the second order of accuracy in terms of $h=\sqrt{h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}}, h_{2} \rightarrow 0$ in each of the difference metrics $C, W_{2}^{2}$.

Proof. We denote $z=Y-u$, then $z$ satisfies the difference problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda z=f-\Lambda u=F, \quad\left(i h_{1}, j h_{2}\right) \in \Pi  \tag{41}\\
\left.z\right|_{x=0}=\left.z\right|_{y=0}=\left.z\right|_{y=\pi}=0, \quad \mathcal{L} z=-\mathcal{L} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

For this problem $F=O\left(h^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L} u=O\left(h^{2}\right)$ [10, p. 81, 229]. Put $z=\tilde{z}+\hat{z}$, where $\tilde{z}$ is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda \tilde{z}=0, \quad\left(i h_{1}, j h_{2}\right) \in \Pi  \tag{42}\\
\left.\tilde{z}\right|_{x=0}=\left.\tilde{z}\right|_{y=0}=\left.\tilde{z}\right|_{y=\pi}=0, \quad \mathcal{L} \tilde{z}=-\mathcal{L} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\hat{z}$ is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda \hat{z}=F,\left(i h_{1}, j h_{2}\right) \in \Pi  \tag{43}\\
\left.\hat{z}\right|_{x=0}=\left.\hat{z}\right|_{y=0}=\left.\hat{z}\right|_{y=\pi}=0, \quad \mathcal{L} \hat{z}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Further, to estimate $\tilde{z}$ we use [10, p. 113] the orthogonal system of mesh functions $\left.\{\sin (k y)\}\right|_{k=1} ^{k=N_{2}-1}$, so that from the representation

$$
\tilde{z}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}-1} \tilde{z}_{k} \sin (k y), \quad y=j h_{2}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N_{2}
$$

it follows, that $\tilde{z}_{k}, k=1, \ldots, N_{2}-1$ is the difference solution of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda_{1} \tilde{z}_{k}-\lambda_{k} \tilde{z}_{k}=0  \tag{44}\\
\left.\tilde{z}_{k}\right|_{x=0}=0, \quad \mathcal{L} \tilde{z}_{k}=-Q_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\quad \Lambda_{1} \tilde{z}=\tilde{z}_{\bar{x} x}, \quad \lambda_{k}=4 h_{2}^{-2} \sin ^{2}\left(k h_{2}\right), \quad Q_{k}=(\mathcal{L} u)_{k} \quad$ and, in view of [3, p. 142-143],

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\tilde{z}_{k}\right|_{x_{i}=i h_{1}}=A_{k} \sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right) \\
A_{k}=-Q_{k} / \mathcal{L}\left[\sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right)\right], \quad i=0, \ldots, N_{1} \\
q_{k}=1+\lambda_{k} h_{1}^{2} / 2+\sqrt{\lambda_{k} h_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{k}^{2} h_{1}^{4} / 4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L}\left[\sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right)\right]$. By acting $\mathcal{L}$ on $\sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right)$ in the denominator of the fraction for $A_{k}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \sinh \left(\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right) \ln q_{k}\right)+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \sinh \left(i_{\eta_{1}} \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)-S \sinh \left(\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right) \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
S=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if }-\infty<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \leq 0, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}, \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}, \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1} \\
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}, \quad \text { if } \quad \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)\left[1-S \frac{\left.\sinh \left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right) \ln q_{k}\right)}{\sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)}\right] \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore,

$$
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)\left[1-S \frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{n}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}}\right]
$$

Since $q_{k} \geq 1$, we get

$$
\frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{n}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}} \leq \frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{n}}+1}\left[1-q_{k}^{-2\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right)}\right]}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}\left[1-q_{k}^{-2 N_{1}}\right]} \leq \frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{n}}+1}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}}
$$

Since $h_{1}<\theta$ for $\theta=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\zeta_{r+1}-\zeta_{r}, r=\overline{0, n}, \eta_{s+1}-\eta_{s}, s=\overline{0, m}\right\}$, for specified $\quad \delta=1-\zeta_{n}-\theta$ the inequality $\zeta_{n}+h_{1} \leq 1-\delta$ holds. Hence, $i_{\zeta_{n}}+1 \leq h_{1}^{-1}(1-\delta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{n}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta_{n}}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}} \leq \frac{q_{k}^{N_{1}(1-\delta)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}} \leq \frac{1}{q_{k}^{N_{1} \delta}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq\left(1-S \frac{1}{q_{k}^{N_{1} \delta}}\right) \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}^{N_{1}} \geq\left(1+\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} h_{1}\right)^{N_{1}} \geq\left(1+\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} h_{1}\right)^{N_{1}} \geq\left(1+\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}\right) \geq 1+\frac{4}{\pi} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq\left[1-S \frac{1}{(1+4 / \pi)^{\delta}}\right] \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq C \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for
$C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, \quad \text { if }-\infty<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s} \leq 0, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1}, \\ 1-(1+4 / \pi)^{-\delta}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}\right), \quad \text { if } 0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}-\sum_{s=1}^{m} \beta_{s}, \quad \zeta_{n}<\eta_{1}, \\ 1-(1+4 / \pi)^{-\delta} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r}, \quad \text { if } \zeta_{n}>\eta_{1} .\end{array}\right.$
In summary, since the condition $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{L}\left[\sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right)\right] \geq C \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)>0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, in view of (53), by virtue of [3, 150-151], we obtain the estimates

$$
\max _{i, j}\left|\tilde{z}_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad\|\tilde{z}\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad \max _{i, j}\left|\hat{z}_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad\|\hat{z}\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, $\max _{i, j}\left|z_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right),\|z\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right)$. Theorem 3.1 is proved.
Corollary 3.2. Let $n=m, \quad \zeta_{r}<\eta_{r}, \quad r=1, \ldots, n$. Let $f(x, y)$ and so that $u(x, y) \in C^{(4)}(\bar{\Pi})$ is a solution of NLBVP (1) when condition (35) holds for $2^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|\right)>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}<\left(1+\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1-\zeta_{p}-\theta} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p \leq n$, so that $\frac{\left(\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)+\left|\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right|}{2}>0$, but $\frac{\left(\alpha_{p+i}-\beta_{p+i}\right)+\left|\alpha_{p+i}-\beta_{p+i}\right|}{2}=0$ for all $1<i \leq n-p$ (if such $i$ does not exist, we put $p=n$ ), then difference solution of (40) approximates $u(x, y)$ by the second order of accuracy in terms of $h=\sqrt{h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}}, h_{2} \rightarrow 0$ in each of the difference metrics $C, W_{2}^{2}$.
Proof. In view of (41)-(45), for $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L}\left[\sinh \left(i \ln q_{k}\right)\right]$ we obtain the inequality

$$
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r} \sinh \left(\left(i_{\zeta_{r}}+1\right) \ln q_{k}\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \beta_{r} \sinh \left(i_{\eta_{r}} \ln q_{k}\right)
$$

Since $i_{\zeta_{r}}+1<i_{\eta_{r}}, r=\overline{1, n}$, we get

$$
-\mathcal{D} \geq \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right) \sinh \left(\left(i_{\zeta_{r}}+1\right) \ln q_{k}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
-\mathcal{D} \geq\left[1-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)\left(\frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{r}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta_{r}}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}}\right)\right] \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right)
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{D} \geq\left[1-S \frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{p}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta p}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}}\right] \sinh \left(N_{1} \ln q_{k}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
S=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}
$$

By analogy with (48), for $q_{k} \geq 1$ and $\delta=1-\zeta_{p}-\theta$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{k}^{i_{\zeta_{p}}+1}-q_{k}^{-\left(i_{\zeta_{p}}+1\right)}}{q_{k}^{N_{1}}-q_{k}^{-N_{1}}} \leq \frac{1}{q_{k}^{N_{1} \delta}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the inequalities $\zeta_{p}+h_{1} \leq 1-\delta$ and $i_{\zeta_{p}}+1 \leq h_{1}^{-1}(1-\delta)$ hold. In view of (50) and (55)-(56), the analogies of (51)-(53) hold for

$$
C=1-(1+4 / \pi)^{-\delta}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right)+\left|\alpha_{r}-\beta_{r}\right|}{2}\right)
$$

In view of (53), similar to Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$
\max _{i, j}\left|\tilde{z}_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad\|\tilde{z}\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad \max _{i, j}\left|\hat{z}_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad\|\hat{z}\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

and therefore, $\max _{i, j}\left|z_{i j}\right|=O\left(h^{2}\right),\|z\|_{W_{2}^{2}}=O\left(h^{2}\right)$. Corollary 3.2 is proved.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper we used an approach which is based on modified methods of papers [3] and [16].

The basic result of our paper demonstrates new conditions on the well-posedness of NLBVP (1) (see Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3). The newness of the condition $\mathcal{A}$ and (35) is shown in Note 2.1. As it is shown in Note 2.2, condition $\mathcal{A}$, as well as the requirement (35), reveals the unboundedness effect for the value $S$, which is specified by corresponding values of the coefficients in NLBVC of the differential problem (1).

The difference interpretation of NLBVP (1) is proposed by the finite-difference scheme (40). In Theorem 3.1, under the condition $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, and in Corollary 3.2 under the requirement (54), correspondingly, we proved the second order of accuracy approximation for smooth classical solution of NLBVP (1) on a uniform grid with sufficiently small step. The required new condition $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and the inequality (54) covers the condition $S \leq 1$ which was used by the author earlier in the paper [16, p. 45-48] to obtain the second order of accuracy approximation.

The author would like to thank Prof. Dr. A. Ashyralyev for his attention to the author's preliminary result [15] which preacted this paper's research.
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